DIASTEREOSELECTIVE SYNTHESIS OF BRANCHED 2-DEOXY SUGARS VIA RADICAL C-C BOND FORMATION REACTIONS

Bernd Giese\* and Kay Gröninger

Institut für Organische Chemie und Biochemie Technische Hochschule Darmstadt Petersenstraße 22, D-6100 Darmstadt, Germany

Summary: From glycals 1 and 6, 2-deoxy sugars can be synthesized in 40-72% yields. With 1,2-disubstituted alkenes 3 this radical C-C bond formation reaction leads with high stereoselectivity to the isomers 4 and 8.

The formation of C-C bonds via solvomercuration of electron-rich alkenes and reduction with hydrides in the presence of electron-poor alkenes is a new versatile method<sup>1)</sup> for the synthesis of target molecules<sup>2)</sup>. We have now shown that this method can also be applied to carbohydrate chemistry. Solvomercuration of the galactal <u>1</u> leads, in a stereospecific reaction, to the organomercuric salt  $2^{3}$ . In situ reduction of <u>2</u> with boro or tin hydrides (HM) in the presence of a tenfold excess of alkenes <u>3</u> gives branched deoxy sugars <u>4</u> and  $5^{4}$ . The yields of the overall reactions are 40 to 67% (Table I). The major deoxy sugar products are formed with the new C-C bond in the equatorial position. The selectivity depends on the alkene substituents: With acrylonitrile, a 2:1 mixture of <u>4</u> and <u>5</u> is formed, whereas with fumaric ester only isomer <u>4</u> can be detected (Table I).



R = -CHX-CHYZ



## Table I

Yields and stereoselectivity of the formation of 2-deoxy sugars via solvomercuration and radical C-C bond formation of glycals 1 and 6.

Glyca	l All	kene	<u>3</u>	MH	Yield (%)	Stereoselectivity
	X	Y	Z		<u>4</u> +5 or <u>8</u> +2	<b>4:</b> 5 or 8:9
<u>1</u>	Н	Н	CN	Bu4 <sup>NBH</sup> 4	60	67/33
<u>1</u>	н	Н	CN	Bu <sub>3</sub> SnH	67	67/33
1	н	Н	со <sub>2</sub> сн <sub>3</sub>	Bu <sub>3</sub> SnH	55	71/29
<u>1</u>	CN	H	CN	Bu <sub>3</sub> SnH	55	90/10
<u>1</u>	со <sub>2</sub> сн <sub>3</sub>	Н	со <sub>2</sub> сн <sub>3</sub>	${}^{\mathrm{Bu}}4^{\mathrm{NBH}}4$	50	>97/<3
<u>1</u>	сн <sub>3</sub>	CN	CN	Bu <sub>3</sub> SnH	40	>95/<5
<u>6</u>	Н	Н	CN	Bu <sub>3</sub> SnH	72	67/33
<u>6</u>	CN	Н	CN	Bu <sub>3</sub> SnH	40	>95/<5

Since glucals with an equatorial substituent at C-4 react stereospecifically with mercuric acetate only if the OH groups are not acetylated<sup>5)</sup>, the mercuration of triacetyl glucal <u>6</u> was carried out after in situ solvolysis. Reduction of <u>7</u> in the presence of alkene <u>3</u> and acetylation gives <u>8</u> and <u>9</u><sup>4)</sup> in 40 and 72% yield (Table I). Again, the equatorial C-C bond formation predominates with acrylonitrile giving a 2:1 mixture of products <u>8</u> and <u>9</u> and fumarodinitrile yielding <u>8</u> as the only detectable isomer.

The conformation of the carbohydrate radical is not known. But the predominant formation of an equatorial C-C bond can be explained by  $\pi$ -radical <u>10</u> in which one lobe of the half-occupied orbital tends away from the ring and is more easily accessible than the other lobe. This leads to differences in steric effects and 1,2-disubstituted alkenes react with high stereoselectivity because substituents at the attacked C-atom of alkene <u>3</u> always increase these differences<sup>6</sup>.



equatorial C-C bond

<u>Acknowledgement</u>. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie.

## Notes and References

- B. Giese and K. Heuck, <u>Chem. Ber</u>. <u>112</u> (1979) 3759; B. Giese and K. Heuck, <u>Tetrahedron Lett. 21</u> (1980) 1829; B. Giese and K. Heuck, <u>Chem. Ber</u>. <u>114</u> (1981) 1572; B. Giese and U. Lüning, <u>Synthesis</u> <u>1982</u>, 735.
- 2) A. P. Kozikowski, T. R. Nieduzak and J. Scripko, <u>Organometallics</u> <u>1</u> (1982) 675; S. Danishefsky, S. Chackalamannil and B. J. Uang, <u>J. Org. Chem</u>. <u>47</u> (1982) 2231; S. Danishefsky, E. Taniyama and R. R. Webb, <u>Tetrahedron Lett</u>. <u>24</u> (1983) 11; A. P. Kozikowski and J. Scripko, <u>Tetrahedron Lett</u>. <u>24</u> (1983) 2051; R. Henning and H. Urbach, <u>Tetrahedron Lett</u>. <u>24</u> (1983) 5343; J. Barluenga, J. Lopez-Prado, P. J. Campes and G. Asensio, <u>Tetrahedron 39</u> (1983) 2863; B. Giese, T. Haßkerl and U. Lüning, <u>Chem. Ber</u>. <u>117</u> (1984) 859.
- 3) K. Takiura and S. Honda, Carbohyd. Res. 21 (1972) 379.
- 4) Assignment of the structures followed unequivocally from the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra (300 MHz). With 1,2-di- or trisubstituted alkenes <u>3</u> two diastereomers, denoted as I and II, are formed:
  - $\underbrace{4a}_{1,2} (\text{R=CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CN}): \delta=4.81 \text{ (d, 1H, H-1); 5.06 (dd, 1H, H-3); 5.32 (d, 1H, H-4); }_{1,2} \\ J_{1,2}=3.4 \text{ Hz; } J_{2,3}=11.7; J_{3,4}=3.1 \text{ Hz; } J_{4,5}<^{1} \text{ Hz.}$
  - $\underline{5a}$  (R=CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CN):  $\delta$ =4.72 (s, 1H, H-1); 5.23-5.30 (m, 1H, H-4); 5.38 (dd, 1H,

H-3);  $J_{1,2}^{<1Hz}$ ;  $J_{2,3}^{=5.1 Hz}$ ;  $J_{3,4}^{=3.5 Hz}$ .

- $\underbrace{4\underline{b}}_{1H, H-4}(R=CH_2CO_2CH_3): \delta=4,77 \text{ (d, 1H, H-1); 5.06 (dd, 1H, H-3); 5.26-5.36 (m, 1H, H-4); }_{1,2}=3.4 \text{ Hz; }_{2,3}=11.8 \text{ Hz; }_{3,4}=3.1 \text{ Hz}.$
- $\underbrace{4\underline{c}}_{4\underline{c}} (\text{R=CHCNCH}_2\text{CN}): I: \delta=2.65 \text{ (ddd, 1H, H-2); 4.98 (d, 1H, H-1); 5.26 (dd, 1H, H-3); 5.40 (d, 1H, H-4); <math>J_{1,2}=3.4 \text{ Hz}; J_{2,3}=11.7 \text{ Hz}; J_{3,4}=3.1 \text{ Hz}; J_{4,5}<1 \text{ Hz}. \text{ II: } \delta=2.53-2.71 \text{ (m, 1H, H-2); 4.95 (d, 1H, H-1); 5.28 (dd, 1H, H-3); 5.40 (d, 1H, H-4); } J_{1,2}=3.3 \text{ Hz}; J_{2,3}=11.8 \text{ Hz}; J_{3,4}=3.1 \text{ Hz}; J_{4,5}=<1 \text{ Hz}.$
- $\underbrace{ \sum_{i=1}^{5} (R=CHCNCH_2CN) : \delta = 4.78 (d, 1H, H-1); 5.53, 5.58 (dd, 1H, H-3); J_{1,2}=1.0 Hz; J_{2,3}=4.5 Hz; J_{3,4}=3.6 Hz.$
- $\begin{array}{l} \underbrace{4\underline{d}}_{\underline{4}\underline{3}} & (\text{R=CH}(\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3)\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3): \text{ I: } \delta=2.70-2.83 \text{ (m, 1H, H-2); } 4.82 \text{ (d, 1H, H-1); } \\ 5.33 & (\text{dd, 1H, H-3); } 5.33-5.39 \text{ (m, 1H, H-4); } \text{J}_{1,2}=3.1 \text{ Hz; } \text{J}_{2,3}=12.0 \text{ Hz, } \\ \text{J}_{3,4}=3.5 \text{ Hz. II: } \delta=2.70-2.83 \text{ (m, 1H, H-2); } 4.72 \text{ (d, 1H, H-1); } 5.23 \text{ (dd, } \\ \text{1H, H-3); } 5.33-5.39 \text{ (m, 1H, H-4); } \text{J}_{1,2}=3.0 \text{ Hz; } \text{J}_{2,3}=12.2 \text{ Hz; } \text{J}_{3,4}=3.2 \text{ Hz.} \end{array}$
- $\underbrace{4}_{\text{e}} (\text{R=CH}(\text{CH}_3)\text{CH}(\text{CN})_2): \text{ I: } \delta=2.50 \text{ (dt, 1H, H-2); } 4.87 \text{ (d, 1H, H-1); } 5.28 \text{ (dd, 1H, H-3); } 5.38 \text{ (d, 1H, H-4); } J_{1,2}=3.0 \text{ Hz; } J_{2,3}=12.0 \text{ Hz; } J_{3,4}=3.1 \text{ Hz; } J_{4,5}<1 \text{ Hz. II: } \delta=4.88 \text{ (d, 1H, H-1); } 5.21 \text{ (dd, 1H, H-3); } 5.40 \text{ (d, 1H, H-4); } J_{1,2}=3.0 \text{ Hz; } J_{2,3}=11.5 \text{ Hz; } J_{3,4}=2.9 \text{ Hz; } J_{4,5}<1 \text{ Hz.}$
- $\underline{\underline{8}}_{2} \quad (R=CH_{2}CH_{2}CN): \delta=3.86-3.96 \quad (m, 1H, H-5); 4.08 \quad (dd, 1H, H-6); 4.29 \quad (dd, 1H, H-6); 4.78 \quad (d, 1H, H-1), 4.98 \quad (dd, 1H, H-4); 5.21 \quad (dd, 1H, H-3); \\ J_{1,2}=3.4 \quad Hz; \quad J_{2,3}=11.1 \quad Hz; \quad J_{3,4}=9.2 \quad Hz; \quad J_{4,5}=10.1 \quad Hz; \quad J_{5,6}=2.3 \quad Hz; \\ J_{5,6}=4.7 \quad Hz; \quad J_{6,6}=12.3 \quad Hz.$
- $\underbrace{\begin{array}{l} \underbrace{92}{2} \\ \begin{array}{l} & \underbrace{2} \left( R=CH_{2}CH_{2}CN \right): \delta=3.86-3.94 \ (m, 1H, H-5); \ 4.11 \ (dd, 1H, H-6); \ 4.22 \ (dd, 1H, H-3); \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} & J_{1,2}=1.7 \ Hz; \ J_{2,3}=5.2 \ Hz; \ J_{3,4}=9.7 \ Hz; \ J_{4,5}=9.7 \ Hz; \ J_{5,6}=2.5 \ Hz; \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} J_{1,2}=1.7 \ Hz; \ J_{2,3}=5.2 \ Hz; \ J_{3,4}=9.7 \ Hz; \ J_{4,5}=9.7 \ Hz; \ J_{5,6}=2.5 \ Hz; \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} J_{2,3}=5.0 \ Hz; \ J_{6,6}=12.2 \ Hz. \end{array} \right.$
- $\underbrace{\underline{8}}_{C} (R=CHCNCH_2CN): I: \delta=3.96 (ddd, 1H, H-5); 4.10 (dd, 1H, H-6); 4.29 (dd, 1H, H-6'), 4.94 (d, 1H, H-1); 5.03 (dd, 1H, H-4); 5.36 (dd, 1H, H-3);$  $J_{1,2}=3.4 Hz; J_{2,3}=11.4 Hz; J_{3,4}=9.1 Hz; J_{4,5}=10.2 Hz; J_{5,6}=2.3 Hz;$  $J_{5,6}=4.6 Hz; J_{6,6}=12.3 Hz. II: \delta=2.49 (ddd, 1H, H-2); 3.98 (ddd, 1H, H-5); 4.10 (dd, 1H, H-6); 4.29 (dd, 1H, H-6'); 4.93 (d, 1H, H-1), 5.02 (dd, 1H, H-4); 5.40 (dd, 1H, H-3); J_{1,2}=3.5 Hz; J_{2,3}=11.3 Hz; J_{3,4}=9.0 Hz; J_{4,5}=10.3 Hz; J_{5,6}=2.3 Hz; J_{5,6}=4.4 Hz; J_{6,6}=12.3 Hz.$
- 5) G. R. Inglis, J. C. P. Schwarz and L. McLaren, <u>J. Chem. Soc. (London)</u> <u>1962</u>, 1014.
- 6) B. Giese, <u>Angew. Chem. 95</u> (1983) 771; <u>Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 22</u> (1983) 753.

(Received in Germany 15 March 1984)